Publications that Cite EPA's Health Benefits-per-Kilowatt-Hour (BPK) Values

Publication type	Date Published	Location	Summary	URL	Citation
Report	April 2021	Northwest United States	Electric Integrated Resource Plan outlining Avista's resource strategy and planned procurements for the next 24 years. Cites BPK values as an option for estimating non-energy impact (NEI) benefits.	https://www.myavista.com /-/media/myavista/content- documents/about-us/our- company/irp- documents/avista-2021- draft-electric-irp.pdf	Avista. 2021. Electric Integrated Resource Plan. The 17 th Edition.
Report	March 2021	Minnesota, United States	Report documenting status, emerging trends, and issues in Minnesota's energy supply, consumption, conservation, and costs. Uses BPK to demonstrate the value of health benefits for a solar array installed under Minnesota's Weatherization Assistance Program solar pilot program for low-and moderate-income (LMI) customers.	https://mn.gov/commerce- stat/pdfs/20210301 quad r eport.pdf	Minnesota Department of Commerce. 2021. Energy Policy and Conservation Quadrennial Report, 2020.
Resource List	March 2021	United States	Includes BPK values in a list of resources that can help support cost effectiveness practices.	https://www.nationalenerg yscreeningproject.org/wp- content/uploads/2021/03/C ost-Effectiveness-Testing- Resources-3.25.2021.pdf	National Energy Screening Project. 2021. Cost-Effectiveness Testing- Reports and Studies on Various Impacts .
Report	March 2021	New England, United States	The Avoided Energy Supply Components (AESC) Study provides estimates of avoided costs associated with energy efficiency measures for program administrators throughout New England states for purposes of both internal decision-making and regulatory filings. Uses BPK values to calculate non-embedded NOx costs.	https://ma-eeac.org/wp- content/uploads/AESC- 2021.pdf	Synapse Energy Economics. 2021. Avoided Energy Supply Components in New England: 2021 Report. Prepared for AESC 2021 Study Group.

Page 1 of 7

Updated June 25, 2021

Publication	Date				
type	Published	Location	Summary	URL	Citation
Report	February 2021	Illinois, United States	Annual report of the operation and transactions of the Illinois Power Agency. Uses BPK values to estimate the environmental benefits of the IPA's renewable resource procurements.	https://www2.illinois.gov/si tes/ipa/Documents/Illinois %20Power%20Agency%20F Y%202020%20Annual%20R eport%20%282-16- 21%29.pdf	Illinois Power Agency. 2021. Annual Report Fiscal Year 2020.
Report	November 2020	United States	Resource for real estate owners and investors looking to develop or accelerate a sustainability program, as well as for developers looking for ways to incorporate sustainability into their overall strategy. References BPK values in a list of resources for considering social equity, community, and workforce development.	https://knowledge.uli.org/-/media/files/research-reports/2020/uli-blueprint-for-green-real-estate.pdf?rev=c092aa16cf6340c9a5e8c1a9c915d74f&hash=545CCEA746EC5F1E5E555AA5F6E58C4B	Urban Land Institute. 2021. The ULI Blueprint for Green Real Estate.
Report	November 2020	United States	Demonstrates how implementing district-scale high- performance strategies can result in energy savings that increase affordability, improve resilience, reduce emissions, and foster economic development. Cites BPK as evidence that renewable energy installations provide health benefits.	https://www.nrel.gov/docs/ fy21osti/78495.pdf	Polly, B., Pless, S., Houssainy, S., Torcellini, P., Livingood, W., Zaleski, S., Jungclaus, M., Hootman, T., & Craig, M. 2020. A Guide to Energy Master Planning of High-Performance Districts and Communities. United States. https://doi.org/10.2172/1734654
Working Paper	October 2020	United States	Cites BPK as an option to estimate health impacts of increased emissions from the electric power sector due to electrification.	https://eelegal.org/wp- content/uploads/2020/09/L COE2-for-posting- 9.17.2020.pdf	Tanton, T. 2020. Cost of Electrification: A State-by-State Analysis and Results.
Report	August 2020	United States	Resource and planning guide for small business owners and staff who want to increase the energy and water efficiency of their properties by creating and implementing a realistic and cost-effective energy improvement program. Highlights BPK report as tool for energy policy development.	https://bridgingthegap.org/ wp- content/uploads/2020/08/E NERGYSTAR Small Busines s AWB Bridging-the- Gap.pdf	ENERGY STAR. August 2020. ENERGY STAR Action Workbook for Small Business.

Publication type	Date Published	Location	Summary	URL	Citation
Report	August 2020	Midwest United States	Uses BPK to determine the monetized health impact of energy code adoption timing for new single-family homes in nine Midwestern states. Estimates cumulative health benefits for 2009-2019 for the following states: Illinois (\$3,062,096), Indiana (\$28,886,598), Iowa (\$2,848,878), Kentucky (\$13,991,926), Michigan (\$9,495,859), Minnesota (\$17,689,178), Nebraska (\$11,127,039), Ohio (\$16,816,393), and Wisconsin (\$25,953,523).	https://www.mwalliance.or g/sites/default/files/meea- research/documenting the _expanding_benefits_of_str ong_energy_codes.pdf?curr ent=/taxonomy/term/11	Burgess, C. & Westfall, N. 2020. Documenting the Expanding Benefits of Strong Energy Codes: How Energy Codes Impact Community Health. Midwest Energy Alliance.
Public Comment	June 2020	United States	Letter to FERC from NAACP San Diego Branch urging them to reject the New England Ratepayers Association's ("NERA") April 14, 2020 Petition seeking federal jurisdiction over state net metering programs. Cites BPK values.	http://allianceforsolarchoic e.com/wp- content/uploads/2021/03/2 0200615-5049 2020-06-13- FERC.pdf	Maxwell, F. 2020. FERC Must Reject Petition Endangering Net Metering and Urgently-Needed Just Transition to a Clean and Resilient Energy Future (Docket EL20- 42 - Petition for Declaratory Order). NAACP San Diego Branch.
Report	June 2020	Wisconsin, United States	Uses the BPK values for the Upper Midwest and Great Lakes/Mid-Atlantic to estimate the non-energy benefits of energy efficiency and renewable energy in Wisconsin by creating a weighted average: 3.96-8.94 cents/kWh. Appendix F (page F-5) provides a detailed discussion of BPK methodology.	https://focusonenergy.com /sites/default/files/WI%20F ocus%20on%20Energy%20C Y%202019%20Volume%20II I.pdf	Cadmus. 2020. Focus on Energy Calendar Year 2019 Evaluation Report: Volume III Appendices. Prepared for Public Service Commission of Wisconsin.
Comments	May 2020	United states	Cites EPA's report, "Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States," in a list of EPA Air Actions relying on underlying scientific data that would be restricted from consideration By EPA's "Strengthening Transparency in Regulatory Science (Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking)" (Table 1).	https://www.nrdc.org/sites /default/files/media- uploads/2020-05- 18 censoring science supp lemental proposal - nrdc comments final.pdf	Natural Resources Defense Council. 2020. Comments of Natural Resources Defense Council on "Strengthening Transparency in Regulatory Science (Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking)."

Publication	Date				
type	Published	Location	Summary	URL	Citation
Report	May 2020	United	Cites the BPK values for the Southwest, Great	https://naseo.org/data/site	Koewler, M. 2020. The Value of Adding
		States	Lakes/Mid-Atlantic and California to show the	s/1/documents/publication	Home Energy Score to Low-Income Energy
			potential health benefits of energy efficiency in	s/HES%20for%20LMIv9.pdf	Efficiency Programs. National Association
			those regions. There is a full table of BPK values		of State Energy Officials (NASEO).
			included in Appendix C (page 32).		
Report	May 2020	United	Lists the BPK values as one option for estimating	https://escholarship.org/co	Sutter, M., Mitchell-Jackson, J., Schiller,
		States	non-energy impacts of energy efficiency programs,	ntent/qt1924c3g9/qt1924c	S.R., Schwartz, L., and Hoffman, I. 2020.
			and provides a short summary of the BPK	3g9.pdf?t=qbnieu	Applying Non-Energy Impacts from Other
			methodology and results.		Jurisdictions in Cost-Benefit Analyses of
					Energy Efficiency Programs: Resources for
					States for Utility Customer-Funded
					Programs. Lawrence Berkley National
Commonto	Amril 2020	N diana uni	Currente that is addition to assistant a the multip	https://static1.com/graphs	Laboratory. Sierra Club. 2020. Sierra Club's Initial
Comments	April 2020	Missouri, United	Suggests that, in addition to considering the public	https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5936d98f6a496	Comments on Ameren Missouri's 2020
		States	health costs from continuing to burn coal, Ameren should consider in the air quality and public health	3bcd1ed94d3/t/5e8dd9e5c	Integrated Resource Planning Process.
		States	benefits of investments in replacement	19cc97b1dc2b72e/1586354	Integrated Resource Planning Process.
			resources, including energy efficiency and	662259/Sierra+Club+2020+	
			renewable energy. Cites the BPK values as a	Ameren+IRP+Comments.pd	
			resource for monetizing the benefits from these	f	
			investments. Explains BPK is consistently updated to	-	
			reflect public health impacts caused by energy		
			efficiency and renewable energy.		
Utility Filing	April 2020	Maryland,		https://webapp.psc.state.m	Exelon Utilities. 2020. Application of Joint
		United	A group of six electric utilities in Maryland submitted	d.us/newIntranet/Casenum	Exelon Utilities for Approval of Energy
		States	an application to the Public Service Commission of	/submit_new.cfm?DirPath=	Storage Pilot Projects. Case No. 9616.
			Maryland for energy storage projects. The energy	//Coldfusion/Casenum/960	
			storage projects will displace power consumption	<u>0-</u>	
			during peak hours, and the application uses the EE at	9699/9619/Item_4\&CaseN	
			Peak BPK values to estimate the health benefits of	=9619\Item 4	
			shifting from on-peak to off-peak hours.	(second link, page 44)	

Publication	Date				3 1
type Report	Published March	Location United	Report exploring states' role in better integrating	https://www.cesa.org/wp-	Citation Hausman, N. 2020. State Strategies for
	2020	States	locational value into Distributed Energy Resources siting and development. References BPK values as a resource to estimate the health benefits of investments of renewable energy.	content/uploads/State- Strategies-for-Valuing-DERs- in-Cost-Effective- Locations.FINAL .pdf	Valuing Distributed Energy Resources in Cost-Effective Locations. Clean Energy States Alliance.
Report	February 2020	Arizona, United States	Uses BPK to examine the health benefits from implementing a Renewable Energy Standard and Tariff (REST). Found that REST resources deployed from 2008-2018 yielded \$61 million and \$185 million in cumulative benefits for two Arizona utilities.	https://static1.squarespace. com/static/571a88e12fe13 12111f1f6e6/t/5e5ec69c3e 6900506a8e94a7/15832695 39719/AZ+REST+- +Final+Report.pdf	Burgess, E., Roumpani, M., Davidson, M., Latapi, S., and Gorman, J. 2020. Arizona Renewable Energy and Tariff: 2020 Progress Report. Prepared by Strategen Consulting for Ceres.
Memorandum	2020	New Jersey, United States	Cites BPK as a resource for estimating the public health benefits of weatherization. Includes the following BPK values for the Great Lakes/Mid-Atlantic Region in Table 3:3.51-7.95 cents/kWh (uniform energy efficiency, 3% discount rate), 3.14-7.09 cents/kWh (uniform energy efficiency, 7% discount rate), 3.57-8.08 cents/kWh (energy efficiency at peak, 3% discount rate), and 3.19-7.21 cents/kWh (energy efficiency at peak, 7% discount rate).	https://www.nj.gov/bpu/pd f/NJ%20Cost%20Test%20Pr oposal.pdf	New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. 2020. New Jersey Cost Test Proposal.
Article	2020	North Carolina, United States	Cites BPK as a method to estimate the health benefits of better air quality from increasing clean energy in North Carolina. Lists some of the BPK values for the Southeast region to provide a range of 1.58-4.15 cents/kWh from improvements in outdoor air quality. (Note that the total range for the Southeast is actually slightly larger than what is included in this paper: 1.57-4.24 cents/kWh across all technology types for 2017.)	https://www.ncmedicaljour nal.com/content/ncm/81/5 /334.full.pdf	Guidry, V.T., Thie, L., and Money, E.B. 2020. Health Benefits of North Carolina's Transition to Clean Energy. North Carolina Medical Journal, 81: 334-335.

Publication type	Date Published	Location	Summary	URL	Citation
Report	2020	United States	Uses BPK to estimate monetary health benefits due to avoided emissions from utility electric efficiency programs implemented. Estimates health benefits for the following regions: Great Lakes/Mid-Atlantic (\$219 million), Upper Midwest (\$97 million), Northeast (\$70 million), Southeast (\$53 million), Pacific Northwest (\$26 million), California (\$25 million), Southwest (\$22 million), Lower Midwest (\$14 million), Texas (\$10 million), and the Rocky Mountains (\$5 million).	https://energyefficiencyimp act.org/	American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy, Alliance to Save Energy, and The Business Council for Sustainable Energy. 2020. Energy Efficiency Impact Report.
Presentation	December 2019	Nebraska, United States	BPK is included in a presentation about energy trends in Nebraska. One slide shows some of the BPK values for four of the regions (Northeast, Southeast, Mid-Atlantic, and Upper Midwest), and the slide states that the BPK values "make EE and RE much more cost-effective."	https://www.raponline.org/ wp- content/uploads/2020/01/r ap_colburn_seidman_nebra ska_trends_2019_dec_17.p df	Colburn, K. and Seidman, N. 2019. Energy in Nebraska: Trends and Opportunities. The Regulatory Assistance Project.
Blog post	November 2019	United States	Provides an overview of EPA's report, "Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States." There is a full table of BPK values included in the blog post.	https://ilsr.org/could-the-health-benefits-of-renewable-energy-cover-your-electric-bill/?utm_source=Energy+Self-Reliant+States&utm_campaign=6a5ad34ae0-Energy_Self_Reliant_States_1_12_151_8_2015_COPY_01&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_86e661ed1e-6a5ad34ae0-82765397	McCoy, Maria. 2019. Could the Health Benefits of Renewable Energy Cover Your Electric Bill? Institute for Local Self- Reliance.

Publication	Date				
type	Published	Location	Summary	URL	Citation
Blog post	October	United	Provides an overview of the BPK values and	https://www.raponline.org/	Lazar, J. and Seidman, N. 2019. Value
	2019	States	methodology. Includes BPK values for five regions:	blog/value-added-	Added: Measuring the Health Benefits of
			Northeast, Southeast, Mid-Atlantic, Upper Midwest,	measuring-the-health-	the "Layer Cake." The Regulatory
			and Texas. It also includes for comparison the costs	benefits-of-the-layer-cake/	Assistance Project.
			that two specific utilities are paying for new wind		
			and solar resources: Xcel Wind (0-1.8 cents/kWh),		
			and NV Energy Solar (0-2.1 cents/kWh).		

Page 7 of 7 Updated June 25, 2021